



# AUSTRALIAN TAX ADVISER

2107: APRIL 2021

Tony Westhuysen

Principal, Solutions in Taxation

**INFORMATION EXCHANGE  
CORPORATION**

L17, 40 Mount Street,  
North Sydney NSW, 2060

E: [admin@ifx.com](mailto:admin@ifx.com)

T: 61 2 9956 7775

W: [www.ifx.com.au](http://www.ifx.com.au)

**ARCHIVE SEARCHING?**

Use your username and  
password to log onto  
[www.ifx.com.au](http://www.ifx.com.au) to search our  
archives and retrieve copies.

**NEED TO ASK AN ADVISER?**

Go to [www.ifx.com.au](http://www.ifx.com.au)  
to connect.

Please call customer support  
on 1300 360 905 if you have  
any difficulties.

**SUBSCRIBE TO THIS  
PUBLICATION**

[Click here](#)

Can we help?

Call 1300 360 905  
Email [admin@aifx.com.au](mailto:admin@aifx.com.au)

## IN THIS ISSUE

<b>SECTION 1 – PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENTS.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>ALLOCATION OF PROFESSIONAL FIRM PROFITS .....</b>	<b>1</b>
¶7.1 Draft PCG 2021/D2.....	1
¶7.2 The new guidelines.....	3
¶7.3 Transitional arrangements for pre-14 December 2017 arrangements .....	11
<b>SECTION 2 – PROFESSIONAL CURRENCY .....</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>BILLS AND LEGISLATION.....</b>	<b>11</b>
¶7.4 Income Tax Regulations registered.....	11
¶7.5 Financial Reform No 2 Bill receives assent.....	12
¶7.6 ASIC delegation of registry functions: legislative instruments registered.....	12
¶7.7 GST revenue sharing for states for 2021–22.....	13
¶7.8 Updates to the ATO website .....	14
<b>CASES AND DECISIONS .....</b>	<b>15</b>
¶7.9 <i>FCT v Rowntree (No 3)</i> .....	15
¶7.10 <i>Coronica and FCT</i> [2021] .....	16
¶7.11 <i>Logic Accountants &amp; Tax Professionals Pty Ltd and TPB</i> .....	18
¶7.12 <i>Watts and FCT</i> .....	19
¶7.13 <i>PKWK and Innovation and Science Australia</i> .....	20
¶7.14 <i>PDXS and FCT</i> .....	22
<b>APPEALS NEWS.....</b>	<b>23</b>
¶7.15 <i>154 520 199 Pty Ltd (in liquidation) v FCT</i> .....	23
¶7.16 <i>FCT v Carter</i> .....	24
¶7.17 <i>DCT v Shi</i> .....	25
<b>RULINGS AND GUIDELINES.....</b>	<b>25</b>
¶7.18 PS LA 2021/1 – Application of the promoter penalty laws .....	25
¶7.19 PCG 2020/5 modified – Super funds and non-arm’s length expenditure .....	27

Continued



# AUSTRALIAN TAX ADVISER

2107: APRIL 2021

Tony Westhuysen

Principal, Solutions in Taxation

## INFORMATION EXCHANGE CORPORATION

L17, 40 Mount Street,  
North Sydney NSW, 2060

E: [admin@ifx.com](mailto:admin@ifx.com)

T: 61 2 9956 7775

W: [www.ifx.com.au](http://www.ifx.com.au)

## ARCHIVE SEARCHING?

Use your username and  
password to log onto  
[www.ifx.com.au](http://www.ifx.com.au) to search our  
archives and retrieve copies.

## NEED TO ASK AN ADVISER?

Go to [www.ifx.com.au](http://www.ifx.com.au)  
to connect.

Please call customer support  
on 1300 360 905 if you have  
any difficulties.

## SUBSCRIBE TO THIS PUBLICATION

[Click here](#)

Can we help?

Call 1300 360 905  
Email [admin@aifx.com.au](mailto:admin@aifx.com.au)

## IN THIS ISSUE

<b>SECTION 3 – QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS .....</b>	<b>28</b>
¶7.20 Trust capital gains.....	28
¶7.21 FBT on office treadmill.....	30
¶7.22 Accounting recognition of leased asset – company vehicle.....	30
¶7.23 Shifting an unpaid present entitlement to income a trust from one associated company to another.....	32
¶7.24 Australian company transactions with commonly owned overseas company .....	33
¶7.25 SMSF In-specie acquisition of related party asset.....	34
¶7.26 Foreign resident and CGT.....	35
¶7.27 Tax residency and Covid 19 .....	35
¶7.28 Excess franking credits.....	36

## SECTION 1 – PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENTS

### ALLOCATION OF PROFESSIONAL FIRM PROFITS

#### ¶7.1 Draft PCG 2021/D2

Readers may recall that in December 2017, the ATO suspended the application of its guidelines *Assessing the Risk: Allocation of profits within professional firms*.

Originally, the ATO had issued [guidelines](#) indicating when it would seek to apply Part IV A to the use of partnerships of discretionary trusts and similar structures. The guidelines explained how the ATO would assess the risk to the Revenue arising from the allocation of profits from a professional firm carried on through a partnership, trust or company, where the income of the firm was not personal services income.

The original guidelines applied to arrangements within professional firms including those providing services in the accounting, architectural, engineering, financial services, legal and medical professions.

The guidelines would apply where:

- an individual professional practitioner (IPP) provided professional services to clients of the firm, or was actively involved in the management of the firm and, in either case, the IPP and/or associated entities had a legal or beneficial interest in the firm
- the firm operated by way of a legally effective partnership, trust or company; and
- the income of the firm was not personal services income (as defined in s 84-5 of the ITAA97).

In some cases, the guideline said practice income may be treated as being derived from a business structure, even though the source of that income remained, to a significant extent, the provision of professional services by one or more individuals.

The guidelines classified these arrangements into either low or high risk, depending on the particular features of each type of arrangement. So for example, the ATO rated arrangements as low risk, and not subject to compliance action where they met one of the three benchmarks outlined below.

#### **Benchmark 1: Equivalent remuneration**

Benchmark 1 would be met where the IPP gets paid an appropriate amount for the services they provided to the firm. In determining an appropriate level of income, the ATO regarded the level of remuneration paid to the upper quartile of the highest band of professional employees providing equivalent services to the firm as being appropriate.

So, as long as the IPP received remuneration equivalent to (or higher) than the lowest paid member of the upper quartile, the ATO would regard this as a low risk arrangement and would not seek to apply compliance action. If there were no such employees in the firm, then employees from comparable firms or relevant industry benchmarks could be used.